



# Plant Archives

Journal homepage: <http://www.plantarchives.org>

DOI Url : <https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2026.v26.supplement-2.423>

## HARNESSING BIOFERTILIZERS FOR SUSTAINABLE FODDER PRODUCTION : A REVIEW

Aleena S.<sup>1\*</sup>, Sharu S.R.<sup>2</sup>, Gayathri G.<sup>2</sup>, Shalini Pillai P.<sup>1</sup> and Chitra N.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

<sup>2</sup>All India Coordinated Research Project on Forage Crops and Utilization, Regional Agricultural Research Station (SZ), Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

\*Corresponding author E-mail: [aleena-2024-11-012@student.kau.in](mailto:aleena-2024-11-012@student.kau.in)

(Date of Receiving : 04-05-2026; Date of Revision : 18-06-2026; Date of Acceptance : 19-07-2026)

### ABSTRACT

India accounts for a livestock population of 535.82 million, reflecting a 4.82 per cent increase over the 2012 census. This rapid growth intensified the fodder demand, while the country faces severe shortage of 61.10 per cent in green fodder and 21.9 per cent in dry fodder. The continuous use of chemical fertilizers has resulted in reduced soil fertility and increased production cost in fodder crops. In agricultural systems, microorganisms act as organic fertilizers, plant growth promoters and soil builders. They assist in biological nitrogen fixation, solubilization of unavailable forms of phosphorus and potassium, production of plant growth-promoting substances and stimulation of soil microbial activity. Therefore, biofertilizers are promising agents for enhancing fodder crop production while maintaining soil fertility. This review discusses the various roles of biofertilizers in fodder production, focusing mainly on their classification, important biofertilizers used in fodder crops and their effects on crop growth, yield, quality, soil properties and farm economics. The results show that biofertilizers enhance green and dry fodder yields, crude protein content, nutrient uptake, photosynthetic efficiency and soil biological activity.

**Keywords :** Biofertilizer, Sustainability, Fodder crops, Microorganisms, Soil fertility, Productivity.

### Introduction

Green Revolution in India significantly enhanced crop productivity, reduced hunger and establish a strong foundation for self-sufficiency in staple food production. In contrast, fodder production has not witnessed a comparable improvement over these years, despite India accounting for nearly 20 per cent of world livestock population (Singh *et al.*, 2022). As the world's largest milk producer, the country contributes approximately 25 per cent of global milk output with a total production of 239.3 million tonnes. Milk and meat production in India are growing annually at rates of 3.78 per cent and 4.95 per cent respectively (DAHD, 2024). Despite these gains, the country faces a net deficit of 61.1 per cent green fodder and 21.9 per cent dry fodder (Pandey *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, enhancing the productivity of existing agricultural land through

improved agronomic practices has become an urgent priority.

The continuous use of chemical fertilizer to meet the crop nutrient requirements adversely affect the soil fertility (Oberoi *et al.*, 2020). To reduce the dependency on chemical fertilizers, biofertilizer play a major role in enhancing soil nutrient availability and promoting sustainable crop productivity (Jat and Ahlawat, 2006). Biofertilizers are living microorganisms that colonize in the rhizosphere of plants and help increasing the availability of nutrients required for plant growth (Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2014). They improve soil fertility and plant growth by fixing atmospheric nitrogen, solubilizing insoluble phosphates and producing growth promoting substances (Daniel *et al.*, 2022).

## Biofertilizers

Biofertilizers are organic substances that contain beneficial microorganisms capable of increasing soil productivity and plant growth (Sadhana, 2014). These products consist of active or dormant microbial strains that enhance nutrient availability and plant uptake through their activity in plant rhizosphere. They can be applied through seed inoculation or by soil application (Khanna *et al.*, 2019). Application of biofertilizer

enhanced plant growth and increased dry forage yield by 15.6 per cent in fodder sorghum (Sadafzadeh *et al.*, 2023).

## Types of Biofertilizers

Biofertilizers are grouped based on their functional role in nutrient transformation and availability. A simplified classification of major biofertilizer groups along with representative microorganisms are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1 :** Classification of biofertilizers based on function and examples

| Groups                                                 | Category                       | Examples                                                                              |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) fixing Bio fertilizers</b> | Free living                    | <i>Azotobacter</i> , <i>Clostridium</i> , <i>Anabaena</i> , <i>Nostoc</i>             |
|                                                        | Symbiotic                      | <i>Rhizobium</i> , <i>Frankia</i> , <i>Anabaena azollae</i>                           |
|                                                        | Associative Symbiotic          | <i>Azospirillum</i>                                                                   |
| <b>P-Solubilizing Bio fertilizers</b>                  | Bacteria                       | <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> <i>Pseudomonas striata</i>                                   |
|                                                        | Fungi                          | <i>Penicillium sp.</i> , <i>Aspergillus awamori</i>                                   |
| <b>P-Mobilizing Bio fertilizers</b>                    | Arbuscular Mycorrhizae         | <i>Glomus sp.</i> , <i>Gigaspora sp</i>                                               |
|                                                        | Ectomycorrhiza                 | <i>Laccarai sp.</i> , <i>Pisolithus sp.</i> , <i>Boletus sp.</i> , <i>Amanita sp.</i> |
|                                                        | Orchid Mycorrhiza              | <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>                                                             |
| <b>K- solubilizers</b>                                 | Bacteria                       | <i>Frateuria aurantia</i> , <i>Arthrobacter spp</i> , <i>Bacillus sp.</i>             |
|                                                        | Fungi                          | <i>Aspergillus niger</i>                                                              |
| <b>K- mobilizers</b>                                   | Bacteria                       | <i>Bacillus spp</i>                                                                   |
|                                                        | Fungi                          | <i>Aspergillus niger</i>                                                              |
| <b>Micronutrient solubilizers</b>                      | Silicate and Zinc solubilizers | <i>Bacillus sp.</i> , <i>Thiobacillus spp.</i>                                        |

## Effect of Biofertilizer in Fodder Crops

### 1. Growth Parameters

#### Seedling emergence

Seed inoculation with biofertilizer such as *Azospirillum* and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) consortia exhibited higher germination rate of 48-58 per cent compared to 31 per cent over control (uninoculated) and other treatments in guinea grass (Mishra *et al.*, 2008). Among different Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) concentration (0.5, 10 and 15 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) and seedling density (0.26, 0.33, 0.40 and 0.47 g cm<sup>-2</sup>), treatment receiving PGPR at rate of 15 ml L<sup>-1</sup> and seedling density of 0.47 g cm<sup>-2</sup> has increased seed germination. This was due to their ability to synthesize indole-3-acetic acid, an auxin that stimulates seed germination and early seedling growth (Utamy *et al.*, 2025). Seed inoculation with *Bacillus licheniformis* or *Streptomyces kostiense*, either individually or in combination resulted in higher seed germination and seedling growth in *Acacia senegal*. This enhanced effect was attributed to the presence of gibberellins produced by the microorganisms (Singh *et al.*, 2011). Application of Biophos 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed as seed treatment before sowing and 25.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through broadcasting was found to increase germination density in fodder maize to 33.0 m<sup>-2</sup>, compared to

control (no Biophos; 30.33 m<sup>-2</sup>) (Brohi *et al.*, 2021).

#### Plant height

Plant height is a key growth attribute that is directly associated with forage yield and overall plant productivity. In semi-arid regions of south India, among different fodder grasses Bajra Napier hybrid variety BN2 seeds inoculated with *Azospirillum lipoferum* 1001 reported the tallest plants (282 cm) compared to control (without inoculation; 209 cm) (Sebastinraj *et al.*, 2008). Seed inoculation with PGPR 1 (*Azotobacter brasilense* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) showed maximum plant height (186.58 cm) over no inoculation and PGPR 2 (*Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) in fodder sorghum. This might be due to the synthesize of phytohormones by PGPR which promote plant growth by effective nutrient absorption (Chattha *et al.*, 2017). Application of 100 per cent recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) with biofertilizer (*Azospirillum* and Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (PSB)) resulted in higher plant height (254.38 cm) compared to the control, 75 per cent RDF and 50 per cent RDF (Aditi *et al.*, 2019).

#### Number of leaves per plant

The higher number of leaves per plant is directly related to the plant productivity as it enhances the photosynthetic capacity. The integrated application of

inorganic fertilizers (100% Recommended dose of nitrogen) and biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) increased the number of leaves per plant compared to the application of inorganic fertilizer alone in fodder sorghum (Verma *et al.*, 2014). The treatment receiving 90 per cent RDF + 5kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> + *Azospirillum* produces a greater number of leaves (8.45) over rest of the treatments in baby corn (Hekmat *et al.*, 2019). The combined application of 100 per cent RDF and PGPR enhanced the number of leaves per plant (15.3) over absolute control (10.0) in fodder pearl millet (Kumar *et al.*, 2024).

### Leaf area

Leaf area indicates the net photosynthetic capacity of the plant, as an increase in leaf area provides a large surface for light interception and photosynthesis. In fodder maize, the combined application of farm yard manure (FYM) along with *Azotobacter* soil application yielded larger leaves with an area of 93.5 cm<sup>2</sup> over control (73 cm<sup>2</sup>) (Khushali *et al.*, 2015). Similarly, seed inoculation with *Azotobacter spp* resulted in a higher leaf area (229.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to *Azospirillum spp* (219.9 cm<sup>2</sup>) in fodder oats variety Sargodha-2011. This increase was attributed to the ability of *Azotobacter* to fix atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> and to produce phytohormones such as auxins and gibberellins (Saleem *et al.*, 2015). The application of Nitroline biofertilizer in combination with 60 or 90 kg mineral nitrogen resulted in greater leaf area over application of mineral nitrogen fertilizer alone (Habiba *et al.*, 2018).

### Stem diameter

Among different nitrogen and phosphorous levels combined with biofertilizer (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*), the maximum stem diameter (2.72 cm) was recorded with the application of 90 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> along with biofertilizer, which was significantly higher than stem diameter observed under N<sub>60</sub>P<sub>40</sub> + BF, N<sub>30</sub>P<sub>20</sub> + BF and control in multicut fodder sorghum variety CSH 24 MF (Kushwaha, 2016). Seed inoculation with phosphorous solubilizing bacteria (PSB) resulted in higher stem diameter (1.22 cm) compared to uninoculated seeds (1.16 cm) in fodder maize (Ayub *et al.*, 2014). Seed inoculation with PGPR1 (*Azotobacter brasilense* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) gave the highest stem diameter (1.13 cm) over uninoculated seed (0.94 cm) in fodder sorghum. This might be due to the phytohormone production by PGPR1 which enhance nutrient use efficiency (Chattha *et al.*, 2017).

## 2. Yield Parameters

### Green fodder yield

The application of biofertilizers improves water and nutrient uptake and enhances vegetative growth, thereby contributing to higher green fodder production (Asoegwu *et al.*, 2020). The combined application 100 per cent RDF, 5 t vermicompost ha<sup>-1</sup> and *Azotobacter* + PSB inoculation recorded the maximum green fodder yield in fodder sorghum. In addition, the residual effect of this treatment resulted in maximum green fodder yield of barley (Kumar *et al.*, 2022). Similarly, the fodder sorghum variety GFS-5 fertilized with 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and seed subjected to seed treatment with *Azospirillum* and PSB recorded higher green fodder yield in fodder sorghum (Tandel *et al.*, 2020). The integrated application of 150 per cent RDF and PSB produced more green fodder yield (27.09 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) control (17.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in maize (Singh *et al.*, 2018).

### Dry fodder yield

In fodder sorghum, seed inoculation with *Azospirillum* resulted in 5.48 per cent increase in dry matter production compared to the uninoculated seed (Meena *et al.*, 2010). The application of 100 per cent RDF along with FYM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and dual inoculation of *Azotobacter* and PSB recorded higher dry fodder yield (7492 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) over other treatment in pearl millet (Thumar *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, the application 75 per cent RDF along with *Azotobacter* and PSB resulted in higher dry fodder yield (68.2 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) comparable with those obtained with 100 per cent RDF (71.7 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in fodder oat (Kumar and Karmakar, 2015). In forage maize the combined application of 100 per cent RDF along with *Azotobacter* and PSB recorded higher dry fodder yield (116 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) over 100 per cent RDF (Jadav *et al.*, 2018).

## 3. Quality Parameters

### Crude protein content

Crude protein is a major quality parameter consider in fodder crops, as it indicates the nitrogen content in the cattle feed. Among different levels of nitrogen, the treatment receiving 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and co-inoculation of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* resulted in higher crude protein content (11.15 %) over other N levels (0,40 and 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and un inoculation in fodder oat (Bilal *et al.*, 2017). The integrated application of 75 per cent RDF, vermicompost @ 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, PSB @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Azotobacter* @ 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed and ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded an increase in crude protein content (14.9 %) compared to absolute control (8.44 %) in dual purpose oats (Mondal *et al.*, 2025). Among the nutrient levels, the highest crude protein

content was obtained from the treatment  $N_{90}P_{40} + BF$  (8.37 %) over rest of the treatment in fodder sorghum (Kushwaha *et al.*, 2018).

### Crude fibre

The combined application of 75 per cent RDF and biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KSB) recorded a decrease in crude fibre content (31.4%) compared to 100 per cent RDF (32.8 %) in fodder sorghum. Fodder containing low fibre content indicates the good palatability of the feed (Yamalapalli *et al.*, 2023). The integrated application of higher N fertilizer (200 kgN ha<sup>-1</sup>) with *Azotobacter*, Mycorrhiza and *Azospirillum* resulted in lower crude fibre content (30 %) over the treatment with no nitrogen and biofertilizer (44 %) in maize (Karimi *et al.*, 2025). In fodder berseem, higher crude fibre content was recorded in dual application of *Rhizobium* and PSB (22.02 %) compared to single and no biofertilizer inoculation (Dhahiya and Tomar, 2018).

## 4. Physiological Parameters

### Chlorophyll content

Plants inoculated with biofertilizers such as AM fungi and PSB exhibited an increase in leaf chlorophyll content (Patra *et al.*, 2019). The combined application of *Rhizobium spp* and L-Tryptophan resulted in higher chlorophyll a and b (0.98 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 0.40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) compared to control (100-60 kg NP ha<sup>-1</sup>) in fodder maize (Qureshi, 2013). The integrated fertilization with biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* var 1 and *Phosphobacter* var 2) along with chelated nano fertilizer Fe and K recorded a significant increase in chlorophyll a (1.59 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and chlorophyll b (5.31 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) in fodder sorghum (Mir *et al.*, 2015).

### Photosynthetic efficiency

The co-inoculation of AM fungi with *Azotobacter chroococcum* or *Thiobacillus spp.* resulted in higher relative water content, which led increased photosynthetic efficiency in smooth vetch (Heydarzadeh *et al.*, 2022). The combined application of PSB and *Rhizobium* at 5mL kg<sup>-1</sup> seed each recorded a higher crop growth rate (CGR), which depends upon amount and intensity of energy intercepted and photosynthetic efficiency in chickpea-fodder sorghum cropping sequence (Chaudhari *et al.*, 2021).

### Plant nutrients (NPK)

The treatment receiving 75 per cent RDF+ 25 per cent N through FYM along with *Azotobacter* resulted in higher plant NPK content (1.05% N, 0.15% P and 1.12% K) and its uptake in forage maize, and was at par with treatment having 100 per cent RDF through

inorganic fertilizer (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). The triple inoculation of biofertilizers such as *Rhizobium spp.*, PSB and VAM recorded higher NPK content (0.87% N, 0.13% P and 2.56% K) in straw of faba bean compared to rest of the treatments (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2021). Similarly, the combined application of biofertilizer (*Azospirillum brasilense* and Mycorrhiza) recorded the highest shoot N (3.92 %) and P (0.52 %) concentration compared to all other treatments in pearl millet (Abdullahi *et al.*, 2014).

## 5. Effect of Biofertilizer in Soil Parameters

### Soil Physical Properties

Biofertilizer application in soil help in improving the physical structure of the soil such as production of soil aggregates, improved soil bulk density, soil temperature and soil moisture (Sharma *et al.*, 2012). The application of 100 per cent RDF along with *Rhizobium* seed inoculation (20 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) recorded maximum pore space (54.22 %) and water holding capacity (55.92 %) of soil compared to other treatments involving vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers in cowpea cultivated field (Singh *et al.*, 2017). The combined application of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizer (*Rhizobium*) in chickpea resulted in lower bulk density (1.226 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) compared to absolute control (Kumar *et al.*, 2024).

### Soil chemical properties

The application of biofertilizer *Azospirillum sp.* Along with 50 per cent RDN supplied through cow dung slurry and coconut leaf vermicompost (1:1) reduced soil acidity, increasing the soil pH to 6.7 compared to control in a field cultivated with hybrid napier (Nihad *et al.*, 2023). The integrated application of compost, AMF and *Rhizobium* recorded a higher electrical conductivity (0.82 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>) under stress condition compared to control (0.21 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>) in field cultivating fodder alfalfa (Ben-Laouane *et al.*, 2020).

### Organic Carbon

The combined application of compound effective microorganism (CEM) 20 g kg<sup>-1</sup> biochar resulted in higher soil organic carbon content (28.08 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) compared to other treatments having different rates (10,20 and 30 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) of biochar and CEM in alfalfa (Cui *et al.*, 2022). The treatment receiving 100 per cent RDN through FYM.+ PGPR.+ 3 per cent foliar spray of panchagavya (M)-PGPR + 3 per cent foliar spray of panchagavya (B) resulted in higher soil organic carbon (5.0 %-13.3%) in all 3 years over the control treatment with RDF in fodder maize-berseem cropping sequence (Onte *et al.*, 2025). Application of biofertilizer along with 75 per cent inorganic fertilizer resulted in highest

organic carbon content (0.59 %) compared to other treatments in setaria- white clover system (Kumar et al., 2020).

### Soil Nutrients (N, P and K)

Among different treatments, the application of 25 per cent N through FYM + 50 per cent N and 100 per cent P, K through inorganic fertilizer along with biofertilizer (*Azotobacter*/ *Rhizobium*) resulted in a higher N content (253.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to control (100% RDF) in sorghum+ cowpea-lucerne forage cropping system (Pathan and Kamble, 2012). Application of 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> along with seed inoculation with PSB resulted in higher soil N (361 and 364 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and P (13.23 AND 13.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in fodder sorghum (Dubey et al., 2018).

### Soil Enzyme Activity

Among the various treatments involving individual application of algal extract, *Rhizobium*, *Trichoderma asperellum* and their combined application, the treatment with *Rhizobium* alone exhibited higher nitrate reductase activity (20 % higher) compared to other treatments (Perez-Alvarez et al., 2024). The combined application of organic matter (20 m<sup>3</sup>) and biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*) resulted in higher dehydrogenase activity compared to control in Maruit-1 (Ahmed and El-Shazly, 2021).

### 6. Economics of Cultivation

The combined application of biofertilizer (*Azospirillum* and *Phosphobacterium*) and 75 per cent inorganic N and P fertilizer resulted in higher net return with BCR 1.39 compared to 100 per cent inorganic N and P fertilizer (BCR- 1.09) in fodder bajra (Chellamuthu ,2000). In fodder maize- berseem cropping system seed inoculation with biofertilizer recorded higher benefit-cost ratios (1.88 and 3.07) compared to no inoculation (1.57 and 2.63) (Suryavanshi et al., 2020). In multicut fodder oats, the application of NPK consortia along with 100 per cent RDF resulted in higher BCR (3.14) over other treatments (Badi et al., 2023).

### Conclusion

Biofertilizers are the potential source for addressing the persistent fodder deficit in context of rapidly expanding livestock and dairy sectors. Through the mechanisms such as biological nitrogen fixation, nutrient solubilization, phytohormone production and enhancement of soil microbial activity, they consistently improve growth, yield, quality and physiological performance of fodder crops, while simultaneously increase soil health and overall farm

productivity. Integrated nutrient management practices combining biofertilizers with reduced levels of chemical fertilizers emerge as a sustainable and economically viable strategy to enhancing fodder production without compromising soil fertility. Due to the increasing pressure on limited land resources and the need for environmentally friendly farming practices, wider adoption of biofertilizers in fodder-based cropping system is essential. Future research should emphasize the development of location-specific biofertilizer combinations, long-term field studies and large scale on farm demonstrations to strengthen their adoption and contribution towards sustainable livestock production systems in India.

### Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, India, for providing necessary facilities for the preparation and revision of this manuscript.

### References

- Abdullahi, R., Sheriff, H.H. and Buba, A. (2014). Effect of biofertilizer and organic manure on growth and nutrients content of pearl millet. *ARPJ. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, **9**(10), 351-355.
- Aditi, C., Tripathi, S., Singh, N. and Saini, L. (2019). Effect of fertilizer levels, biocompost and biofertilizer on growth and yield attributes of fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, **8**(6), 617-620.
- Ahmed, A.E. and El-Shazly, M.M. (2021). Effect of biofertilization and compost levels on productivity of some fodder crops under saline stress. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, **36**(7-8), 205-223.
- Asoegwu, C.R., Awuchi, C.G., Nelson, K.C.T., Orji, C.G., Nwosu, O.U., Egbufor, U.C. and Awuchi, C.G. (2020). A review on the role of biofertilizers in reducing soil pollution and increasing soil nutrients. *Himal. J. Agric.*, **1**(1), 34-38.
- Ayub, M., Tahir, M., Ullahand, R. and Ahmad, W. (2014). Role of inoculation with phosphorous solubilizing bacteria on yield and quality of fodder maize. *Int. J. Mod. Agric.*, **3**(1), 7-11.
- Badi, A.R., Patel, H.K., Patel, K.C., Patel, P.M., Raval, C.H. and Chavda, C.P. (2023). Micronutrients enriched organic manure and biofertilizer as influenced on multi-cut fodder oat [*Avena sativa* (L.)]. *Emerg. Life Sci. Res.*, **9**, 120-130.
- Ben-Laouane, R., Baslam, M., Ait-El-Mokhtar, M., Anli, M., Boutasknit, A., Ait-Rahou, Y., Toubali, S., Mitsui, T., Oufdou, K., Wahbi, S. and Meddich, A. (2020) Potential of native arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, rhizobia, and/or green compost as alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) enhancers under salinity. *Microorganisms*, **8**(11),1695.
- Bhardwaj, S., Sutaliya, J.M., Kumar, R. and Pareek, A. (2021). Influence of tillage and seed treatment on fodder production, NPK content, uptake and protein yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Forage Res.*, **47**(3), 313-319.
- Bhattacharjee, R. and Dey, U. (2014). Biofertilizer, a way towards organic agriculture, A review. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.*, **8**(24), 2332-2343

- Bilal, M., Ayub, M., Tariq, M., Tahir, M. and Nadeem, M.A. (2017). Dry matter yield and forage quality traits of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) under integrative use of microbial and synthetic source of nitrogen. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.*, **16**(3), 236-241.
- Brohi, K.A., Sial, A.H., Siyal, A.L., Kalwar, K.R., Mengal, B.S., Baloch, F.M., Korejo, A.A. and Sahito, M.A. (2022). Impact of different doses and application methods of biophos (biofertilizer) on growth and fodder yield of maize. *Pure Appl. Biol.*, **11**(3), 725-733.
- Chattha, M.B., Iqbal, A., Chattha, M.U., Hassan, M.U., Khan, I., Ashraf, I., Faisal, M. and Usman, M. (2017). P.G.P.R.-inoculated seed increases the productivity of forage sorghum under fertilized conditions. *J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, **13**, 150-153.
- Chaudhari, J.H., Sadhu, A.C., Sonani, V.V. and Chauhan, S.A. (2021). Quality parameters, physiological parameters and nutrient use efficiency of chickpea as influenced by fertilizer levels, biofertilizers and micronutrients in chickpea-fodder sorghum cropping sequence. *Pharma Innov. J.*, **10**(11), 659-668.
- Chellamuthu, V. (2000). Effect of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on the fodder yield of bajra (co. 8). *Madras Agric. J.*, **87**, 1.
- Cui, Q., Xia, J., Peng, L., Zhao, X. and Qu, F. (2022). Positive effects on alfalfa productivity and soil nutrient status in coastal wetlands driven by biochar and microorganisms mixtures. *Front. Ecol. Evol.*, **9**, 798520.
- DAHD [Department of Animal Husbandry & Dairying], 2024, Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics 2024. [online]. Available, <https://dahd.gov.in/sites/default/files/2024-11/BAHS-2024.pdf> [3 July 2026].
- Dahiya, H.S. and Tomar, J. (2018). Quality characteristics and economics of different berseem (*trifolium alexandrinum* L.) Cultivars as influenced by biofertilizers and cutting management. *Forage Res.*, 192-196.
- Daniel, A.I., Fadaka, A.O., Gokul, A., Bakare, O.O., Aina, O., Fisher, S., Burt, A.F., Mavumengwana, V., Keyster, M., and Klein, A. (2022). Biofertilizer, The future of food security and food safety. *Microorganisms*, **10**(6), 1220.
- Divya, T.K. (2022). Biofertilizers, Definition, Classification and Importance. *Biot. Res. Today*, **4**(6), 403-405
- Dubey, S.N., Rajpal Singh, R.S., Rohit Kumar, R.K. and Sauhard Dubey, S.D. (2018). Effect of phosphorus and PSB on growth, nodulation and fertility status in different mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) varieties and its residual effect on fodder yield of sorghum in indo-gangetic plain zone of India. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, **14**(1), 196-204.
- Habiba, H.E., Salama, H.S. and Bondok, A.T. (2018) Effect of the integrated use of mineral and bio-fertilizers on yield and some agronomic characteristics of fodder pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *Alexandria Sci. Exch. J.*, **39**, 282-295.
- Hekmat, A.W., Mohammadi, N.K. and Ghosh, G. (2019). Effect of NPK, biofertilizer and zinc foliar nutrition on growth and growth attributes of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, **7**(4), 2432-2436.
- Heydarzadeh, S., Jalilian, J., Pirzad, A., Jamei, R. and Petrussa, E. (2022). Fodder value and physiological aspects of rainfed smooth vetch affected by biofertilizers and supplementary irrigation in an agri-silviculture system. *Agrofor. Syst.*, **96**(1), 221-232.
- Jadav, V.M., Patel, P.M., Chaudhari, J.B., Patel, J.M. and Chaudhari, P.P. (2018). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of rabi forage maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, **6**(1), 2160-2163.
- Jat, R.S. and Ahlawat, I.P.S. (2006). Direct and residual effect of vermicompost, biofertilizers and phosphorus on soil nutrient dynamics and productivity of chickpea-fodder maize sequence. *J. Sustain. Agric.*, **28**(1), 41-54
- Karimi, M., Sayfzadeh, S., Pazoki, A., Hadidi Masouleh, E. and Zakerin, H.R. (2025). Effects of bio-fertilizers and nitrogen levels on yield and quality of single-cross maize (KSC 704). *J. Plant Nutr.*, **48**(12), 1987-2003.
- Khanna, R., Pawar, J., Gupta, S., Verma, H., Trivedi, H., Kumar, P., and Kumar, R. (2019). Efficiency of biofertilizers in increasing the production potential of cereals and pulses. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, **8**(2), 183-188.
- Khushali, D., Ajinkya, D., Jalpa, J., Drashti, P. and Dhruvi, P. (2015). Effects of inorganic, organic and biofertilizers on fodder winter maize crop. *J. Environ. Res. Dev.*, **9**(4), 1123.
- Kumar, A., Chaplot, P.C., Purohit, H.S., Upadhyay, B. and Kaushik, M.K. (2022). Effect of fertility levels, biofertilizers and organic manure on fodder yield of sorghum and its residual effect on barley. *J. Pharma Innov.*, **11**, 1760-1763.
- Kumar, B. and Karmakar, S. (2015). Effect of tillage and nutrient management on fodder yield, economics, and energetics of oat (*Avena sativa* L.). *Forage Res.*, **41**(1), 19-22.
- Kumar, K., Thomas, T., Swaroop, N. and Thomas, A. (2024). Effect of Zinc, Iron and Biofertilizer on Physical Property of Soil under Chickpea Cultivation. *J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol.*, **27**(7), 913-919.
- Kumar, N., Kantwa, S.R., Sharma, G.D., Singh, S. and Kumari, P. (2020). Effect of biofertilizers and inorganic nutrients management on the productivity and soil properties of setaria (*Setaria anceps* Stapf.)-white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) system under sub-temperate climatic conditions. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, **9**(5), 2450-2453.
- Kumar, N., Kumar, S. and Thakur, D. (2024). Potential of biofertilizers in sustaining the productivity of forage crops, A review. *Agric. Sci. Dig.*, **44**(5), 797-805.
- Kumar, R., Ram, H., Praveen, B., Babu, C.R.T., Supriya and Devi, B. (2024). Growth, productivity and profitability of fodder pearl millet as influenced by various nutrient management practices. *Forage Res.*, **50**(2), 147-153
- Kumar, S., Kumar, A., Singh, J. and Kumar, P. (2016). Growth indices and nutrient uptake of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Forage Res.*, **42**(2), 119-123.
- Kushwaha, M. (2016). Productivity and Quality of Multicut Fodder. Ph.D. Thesis, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal.
- Kushwaha, M., Singh, M., Kumar, R., Tyagi, N., Soni, P.G., Choudhary, S. and Makarana, G. (2018). Yield and quality of multicut fodder sorghum as affected by nutrient levels and biofertilizer application. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.*, **35** (1), 82-89
- Meena, R.M., Dashora, L.N., Singh, P. and Sumeriya, H.K. (2010). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of single cut forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). *Forage Res.*, **36**(1), 61-63.

- Mir, S., Sirousmehr, A. and Shirmohammadi, E. (2015). Effect of nano and biological fertilizers on carbohydrate and chlorophyll content of forage sorghum (Speedfeed hybrid). *Int. J. Biosci.*, **6**(4), 157-164.
- Mishra, S., Sharma, S. and Vasudevan, P. (2008). Comparative effect of biofertilizers on fodder production and quality in guinea grass (*Panicum maximum* Jacq.). *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **88**(9), 1667-1673.
- Mondal, K., Jana, K., Saha, P., Paramanik, B., Mondal, R., Agrawal, R.K., Das, B. and Kundu, A. (2025). Effect of nitrogen fertilization integrated with bio-product on productivity, profitability, and resource use efficiency of dual-purpose oats-residual green gram system. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **48**(8), 1383-1396.
- Nihad, K., Haris, A.A., Subramanian, P., Mathew, J., Indhuja, S., Neenu, S., Bhat, R. and Shil, S. (2023). Efficient nutrient recycling strategy through integrated nutrient management in hybrid napier within a coconut (*Cocos nucifera*)-based system. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **93**(6), 647-652.
- Oberoi, H.K., Pandove, G. and Kaur, A. (2020). Effect of pre-sowing seed inoculation with liquid Biofertilizers on fodder yield and quality of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Indian J. Agron.*, **65**(1), 100-106.
- Onte, S., Kumar, D., Kochewad, S., Kumar, S., Dhar, S., Kumar, S., Singh, S., Giri, B.S., Rajawat, M.V.S. and Kumar, S. (2025). Enhancing soil health, fodder energy fractions, and fodder yield in maize-berseem cropping sequence through integrated organic nitrogen fertilization. *Land Degrad. Dev.*, **36**(13), 4605-4625.
- Pandey, A., Patel, P.M., Shinde, R.D., Jakasaniya, M.S., and Chaudhary, R. (2020). Effect of biochar and F.Y.M. on growth, yield and chemical composition of fodder sorghum. *Int. J. Curr. Sci.*, **8**(1), 2974-2979.
- Pathan, S.H. and Kamble, A.B. (2012). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield sustainability and soil fertility of forage cropping system. *Range Manag. Agrofor.*, **33**(2), 151-156.
- Patra, B. and Singh, J. (2019). Usage of biofertilizer in cereal crops, A review. *Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol.*, **36**(3), 1-8.
- Pérez-Álvarez, S., Ochoa-Chaparro, E.H., Anchondo-Páez, J.C., Escobedo-Bonilla, C.M., Rascón-Solano, J., Magallanes-Tapia, M.A., Uranga-Valencia, L.P., Hernández-Campos, R. and Sánchez, E. (2024). Nitrogen assimilation, biomass, and yield in response to application of algal extracts, *Rhizobium* sp., and *Trichoderma asperellum* as biofertilizers in hybrid maize. *Nitrogen*, **5**(4), 1031-1047.
- Qureshi, M.A., Shahzad, H., Imran, Z., Mushtaq, M., Akhtar, N., Ali, M.A. and Mujeeb, F. (2013). Potential of *Rhizobium* species to enhance growth and fodder yield of maize in the presence and absence of L-tryptophan. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.*, **23**(5), 1448-1454.
- Sadafzadeh, E., Javanmard, A., Amani Machiani, M., and Sofo, A. (2023). Application of bio-fertilizers improves forage quantity and quality of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) intercropped with soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Plants*, **12**(16), 2985.
- Sadhana, B. (2014). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (A.M.F.) as a biofertilizer, A review. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, **3**(4), 384-400.
- Saleem, M., Zamir, M.S.I., Haq, I., Irshad, M.Z., Khan, M.K., Asim, M., Zaman, Q., Ali, I., Khan, A. and Rehman, S. (2015). Yield and quality of forage oat (*Avena sativa* L.) cultivars as affected by seed inoculation with nitrogenous strains. *Am. J. Plant Sci.*, **6**(19), 3251.
- Sebastianraj, J., Mahoharan, K. and Paliwal, K. (2008). Effect of *Azospirillum* on fodder production in the semi-arid region of South India. *Multifunct. Grassl. Chang. World*, **1**, 347.
- Sharma, S., Gupta, R., Dugar, G. and Srivastava, A.K. (2012). Impact of application of biofertilizers on soil structure and resident microbial community structure and function. *Bacteria Agric. Biol., Plant Probiotics*, 65-77.
- Singh, D.N., Bohra, J.S., Tyagi, V., Singh, T., Banjara, T.R. and Gupta, G. (2022) A review of India's fodder production status and opportunities. *Grass Forage Sci.*, **77**(1), 1-10.
- Singh, P., Swaroop, N., Thomas, T. and David, A.A. (2017). Effect of integrated nutrient management on chemical and physical properties of soil and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). *Chem. Sci. Rev. Lett.*, **6**(22), 967-970.
- Singh, S., Singh, V., Shukla, R.D. and Singh, K. (2018). Effect of fertilizer levels and bio-fertilizer on green cob yield of corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Sci.*, **6**(2), 2188-2190.
- Singh, S.K., Pancholy, A., Jindal, S.K. and Pathak, R. (2011). Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobia on seed germination and seedling traits in *Acacia senegal*. *Ann. For. Res.*, **54**(2), 161-169.
- Suryavanshi, P., Sharma, M., Singh, Y., Mazeed, A. and Lothe, N.B. (2020). Role of bio-fertilizers in enhancing productivity and profitability of fodder maize-berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*) cropping sequence. *Plant Arch.*, **20**(2), 5083-5086.
- Tandel, B.B., Pankhaniya, R.M. and Thanki, J.D. (2020). Response of fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) varieties to biofertilizer and nitrogen levels. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, **9**(6), 49-52.
- Thumar, C.M., Dudhat, M.S., Chaudhari, N.N., Hadiya, N.J. and Ahir, N.B. (2016). Growth, yield attributes, yield and economics of summer pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, **8**(59), 3344-3346.
- Utamy, R.F., Ako, A., Baba, S., Zulkharnaim, S.G., Alhamd, L., Indrawirawan, A.U.N., Khasanah, A.R., Sukri, S.A., Mufliha, R., Ramadan, Z. and Khaerani, P.I. (2025). Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and seedling density on morphologic characteristics and nutrient content of corn fodder. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, **41**(1), 209-219.
- Verma, N., Swarnkar, V.K. and Das, G.K. (2014). Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen with biofertilizer on forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). *Trends Biosci.*, **7**(2), 101-103.
- Yamalapalli, V., Reddy, R. and Prathima, T. (2023). Effect of liquid biofertilizers on productivity, quality and soil biota of fodder sorghum. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Chang.*, **13**(10), 3665-3671.